

## **CLINICAL SPECIFICATIONS**

# **TOMATO + AQUAPORIN**

#### **Antigen Made From:**

Fresh Beefsteak, Roma, and Cherry Tomatoes mixed together with Tomato Aquaporin, which was purchased from an antigen supplier

#### **Associated With:**

Tomato immune reactivity Aquaporin immune reactivity

Known Cross-Reactions: Anti-B. burgdorferi antibodies; Insulin; Latex; Japanese Cedar pollen; Birch pollen, Potato, Peach, Hazelnut; Bell pepper; Corn, Soy, Spinach

#### **Clinical Significance:**

One hundred grams of tomato contain 0.88% protein.<sup>8</sup> Aquaporins are found in all cells and help move water through the cells in an organized manner. Aquaporin 4 (AQR-4), a water channel protein in the CNS and is expressed in astrocytes, particularly in the astrocyte foot processes at the blood brain barrier. Some environmental proteins such as spinach, soy, corn and tomato contain aquaporin that have similarity to the brain AQR-4. Generation of antibodies against AQR-4 in general may contribute to the development of immunity and/or progression of multiple sclerosis.<sup>7</sup>

Studies on food immune reactivities predominantly use raw food antigens. However, some researchers have noted that heating or combining food proteins can change their antigenicity. <sup>9-11</sup> This array tests for IgG and IgA food immune reactivity. <sup>12,13</sup> Equivocal or out-of-range results indicate antibody reactivity to the tested food antigen.

We tested 288 blood donor sera against tomato and aquaporin antigens at optimal dilution, 9.3% of these donors were IgG and IgA reactive.

Due to cross-reactivity, possible connections between food antigens and human autoimmunity has been previously suggested because proteins in nature can have a similarity in sequence and structure to certain human tissues.<sup>8,14-16</sup>

Data suggests that eliminating foods identified using IgG antibody food testing can play a role in improvement of symptoms.<sup>17</sup> Because certain food components can lead to gut flora changes and gut permeability, eliminating specified food antigens should result in the reduction of antigenic stimuli and the improvement of symptoms.<sup>17,18</sup>

The results of this food array may be used to develop and implement an immune targeted dietary plan, which includes the avoidance of triggering and known cross-reactive foods. Furthermore, when followed over time, avoidance/prevention treatment plans tailored and supervised by the ordering healthcare professional, may help: (a) repair the gut barrier; and (b) re-establish oral tolerance to the offending food. 17.18

### **References:**

- 1. Vojdani. Reaction of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies made against infectious agents with various food antigens. J Clin Cell Immunol, 2015; 6:359.
- 2. Kharrazian, et al. Detection of islet cell immune reactivity with low glycemic index foods: is this a concern for type 1 diabetes? J Diabetes Res, 2017; 2017:4124967.
- 3. Baker and Hourihane. Latex allergy: Two educational cases. Pediatr Allergy Immunol, 2008; 19(6):477-481.
- 4. Kondo et al. Assessment of cross-reactivity between Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica) pollen and tomato fruit extracts by RAST inhibition and immunoblot inhibition. Clin Exp Allergy, 2002; 32(4):590-594.
- 5. Westphal et al. Tomato profilin Lyc e 1: IgE cross-reactivity and allergenic potency. Acta allergologica, 2004; 59(5):526-532.
- 6. Willerroider et al. Cloning and molecular and immunological characterisation of two new food allergens, Cap a 2 and Lyc e 1, profilins from Bell pepper (Capsicum annuum) and Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Int Arch Allergy Immunol, 2003; 131(4):245-255.
- 7. Vaishnay et al. Aquaporin 4 molecular mimicry and implications for neuromyelitis optica. J Neuroimmunology, 2013; 260:92 98.
- 8. U.S. Department of Agriculture. <a href="http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods">http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods</a>
- 9. Sanchez and Fremont. Consequences of heat treatment and processing of food on the structure and allergenicity of component proteins. Rev Fr Allergol Immunol Clin, 2003; 43:13-20.
- 10. Sathe et al. Effects of food processing on the stability of food allergens. Biotechnol Adv, 2005; 23:423-429.
- 11. Vojdani. Detection of IgE, IgG, IgA and IgM antibodies against raw and processed food antigens. Nutr Metab (Lond), 2009; 6: 22. DOI: 10.1186/1743-7075-6-22.
- 12. Barnes. IgG and IgA antibodies to dietary antigens in food allergy and intolerance. Clin Exp Allergy, 1995; 25(Suppl 1):7-9.
- 13. Mullin et al. Testing for food reactions: the good, the bad, and the ugly. Nutr Clin Pract, 2010; 25(2):192-198.
- 14. Agris et al. Plant DNA topoisomerase 1 is recognized and inhibited by human SCI-70 sera autoantibodies. Exp Cell Res, 1990;189:276-279.
- 15. Lunardi et al. Glycine-rich cell wall proteins act as specific antigen targets in autoimmune and food allergic disorders. Int Immunol, 2000; 12(5):647-657.
- 16. Bullard-Dillard et al. Anti-Sm autoantibodies of systemic lupus erythematosus cross react with dietary plant proteins. Immunol Invest, 1992; 21(3):193-202.
- 17. Cordain et al. Modulation of immune function by dietary lectins in rheumatoid arthritis. Br J Nutr, 2000; 83:207-217.
- 18. Atkinson et al. Food elimination based on IgG antibodies in irritable bowel syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. Gut, 2004; 53(10):1459-1464.